Appendix C

Summary Health Insurer and Broker Interviews

May 31, 2011

ARIZONA GAP ANALYSIS HEALTH INSURER AND BROKER INTERVIEWS DOCUMENTATION

HEALTH INSURER INTERVIEWS

ORGANIZATION	NAME	TITLE	PHONE	EMAIL
Blue Cross Blue	Pam Ray	VP Enrollment Services Administration		pray@azblue.com
Shield of	✓ Chuck Bassett	VP - Government Relations		cbassett@azblue.com
Arizona	√Will Ruble	Manager - Enterprise Architecture Solutions		wruble@azblue.com
	Kathy Beranek	Manager of government relations & public policy		kberanek@azblue.com
Add'l Contact	✓Elizabeth Messina	Senior VP & Chief Information Officer		
Add'l Contact	Lynn Seidito	Admin to schedule mtg	602-864-5219	

INTERVIEW NOTES

Existing assets that support individual applications

50% of business through brokers.

Blues hold 50% through brokers. 25-26% of market. United in second place. Very competitive.

Individual vs. group business: administrative services or full risk with groups. Anticipating possibility of groups wanting to change the way benefit is offered. i.e. providing stipend for individual to purchase insurance. Don't know what relationship will be with brokers/agents?

Individuals Online quoting and rating presence. Review product offering. Vision for it to do more. Can check physician in network, etc., cost options. Can apply and go through underwriting online. Notification of coverage. Can request start date; generate id card online. Back end integration into enrollment systems. Can pay premium online. Don't do credit card. Can complete whole application online. Questions answered by chat with salesperson by phone. Can submit email. Integration between portals is what creates positive customer experience. Would like a way to start on a carrier's portal and go to HIE if possible: bi-directionally. Important that carriers be seen as a trusted source of info. And want to be transparent around promoting AZ HIE. Ongoing changes like adding member, etc. want to offer all options. Seamless experience between carriers and the exchange. Goal to sustain health coverage: improve customer experience.

In a position of handling "hand-off" except use of SSN which creates element of data exchange challenging. Don't want to

hold data: want a "federated" approach. Business rules for when and how validation occurs. Prefer business rules be centrally maintained.

Enrollment history? Prefer Web Service calls? How much history will exchange need? HIE should store less data. Renewing member: goal to keep member, etc. route to HIE. Annual validation income, subsidy assessment, drug/felony.

Brokers: 5-10 key brokers (with individuals and groups) can access on line application as well. Long and good relationship with brokers. Sending quotes by email. Making changing on their behalf. Want to have a value member. Integration between carrier broker portal and HIE portal. Some send transactions.

Group perspective: employers may use brokers. Large amount of small business and use brokers. Prefer that SHOP is integrated in AZ.

Today have employer portal and can transmit electronically. Individuals can apply and then employer approves for payroll deductions. Accept electronic payment from employer or payroll service

Additional Assets needed to process HIE applications

Need premium aggregation, e.g. federal subsidy, etc., discounts, stipends, etc. Individual being billed correctly for ongoing payments not just initial. Recommend single 3rd party to manage subsidies.

Notes

Three key points:

Premium aggregation in one place Federated model (web services) store as little data as possible

Bi-directional integration from carrier portals to HIE

ORGANIZATION	NAME	TITLE	PHONE	EMAIL
Delta Dental of	Mark Anderson	Vice President & Chief Financial Officer	602 588-3617	manderson@deltadentalaz.com
Arizona				√3.10.11 email & voice
	Jay Kolker	Operations and IT	602-588-3927	jkolker@deltadentalaz.com

INTERVIEW NOTES	
Existing assets that	Individual: in-house web-app that allows individuals to enroll in one of their plans. Can select vision as well. Several payment
support individual	options. Capacity to use web services, but don't use much no. SQL backend. Use ASP programing and .net; Able to share info
commercial applications	electronically in various formats. Send and receive electronic files. Currently receive eligibility files from AZ (for AZ state staff) using FTP.
	Employer groups: no in-house applications to service commercial side. Platform housed by Wisconsin Delta Dental and handles employer portal to enroll, change individuals in group account. Also hosts claims system. (Illinois, Iowa, Arizona).
	No ability for group to self-enroll. Most is broker generated.
	Delta Dental AZ has 67 employees. Is a franchise model.
Additional Assets	Individual system may need re-sizing: band width and infrastructure would need upgrades if volume of transactions increase as
needed to process HIE	a result of presence on the Exchange
applications	Would like to see hand off for those wanting dental insurance. Willing to work with either hand-off or interface with exchange
	Insuring transparency of medical vs. dental premium costs (?pediatric?).
	Pediatric benefit (required in ACA as part of coverage) design be specific and different than adults.
Requirements for HIE to	Flexible and able to accommodate transaction format and protocols.
send referrals?, e.g.	Currently process premiums. Outsource billing portion. Accept individual online payments.
accept 1st premium	Would accept first premium from Exchange.
payment & process	
state/federal subsidy	

ORGANIZATION	NAME	TITLE	PHONE	EMAIL
Aetna	Linda Cooper	Regional Government Relations Director		CooperL3@aetna.com
	John Pierce	Architect Manager - Enterprise Systems		PierceJA@aetna.com
		Architecture		

INTERVIEW NOTES	
Existing assets that	Have different types of interfaces depending on type of product
support individual	Publish rates, for individual & small group: online quoting and submission of application online; separate interfaces for
commercial applications individuals, small group portal,	
	Level of detail:
	Multiple products and segments online; also for smaller employer
	Now offers a number of transparent tools to make product selection
	What type of tech do you use for your portal? Java-based running web sphere. DB2.
	Have language support. Sp and Eng.
	Premium collection: Aetna collects from Fed and individual; Currently work with another vendor to collect a "binder"
	payment and then bill direct to consumer. In process of putting up capability to collect online. Exchange: no binder payment.
Additional Assets needed	Standardization of business rules across both consumers and employers for consumers to compare products in exchange, e.g.
to process HIE applications	ehealth
Requirements for HIE to	Standard format to send information, e.g. ehealth standard in place by feds
send referrals?, e.g.	AZ has unnecessarily complex process in terms of premium collection and payments.
accept 1st premium	Concern that have to build interfaces with all states. Want it to be less administratively burdensome, clean and simple, etc.
payment & process	Alignment with ehealth.
state/federal subsidy	

Aetna Notes

Participants:

Linda Cooper

Candy Krebs - Consultant, Aetna's Health Reform Implementation Office

Enterprise IT Department staff: John Pierce - Architect Manager, Dharmesh Chokshi - Architect Manager, Stephen Zebrowski - Sr Architect, Daniel Sattler - Sr Architect Advisor, Steve Leshak - Sr Program Manager

Linda Cooper set context

Aetna: What kind of products does state expect carriers to provide?

SIS: state may certify which carriers can participate; not clear if dept of insurance will require certain products.

Aetna: where will the link to enrollment function reside: on carrier side or in the exchange?

SIS: probable hand off to carrier with info as already collected

Consumer's experience different among carriers.

SIS: expectation to have experience be same, i.e. look and feel (skinning), but not necessarily function

Aetna: Are exchanges expecting to send over information; want standard format

Aetna: What kind of info will carrier need to send to exchange"

SIS: which plan they enrolled, date; date coverage extended and terminated, etc.

Aetna eHealth and Federal portal; doesn't have integration with systems?, more than presentation of information

Aetna: Does carrier have to respond in real time once consumer has determined which plan? i.e., Will there be time for underwriting? Does it have to be real time? Aetna prefers it not be real time. Note that ACA requires No more underwriting per se. Only variation in rates based on age and tobacco use in Arizona. ACA: Carriers will have to extend a 90 day grace period. Will be less decision making. Flow charts and diagrams available from Conference that Candy attended. Enrollment exchange will pass file.

Aetna: Mutlple –evendors, e.heatlh using web services; web services, send status back, somewhat "real time". AARP site has more "skinning". Current application collects health history.

How will you receive product and rate data?

Standardized interface

Review opportunities to leverage interface existing healthcare.gov; Recent development and all carriers use it, etc. Really important to have one set of formats;

Ehealth is facilitator for federal portal; the way plan info is displayed should be standards. Currently submit Monthly feed

ORGANIZATION	Name	TITLE	PHONE	EMAIL
Cigna	Steven Barclay	Attorney	(602) 692-8298	steve@barclaylegal.com
(represented by				
Barclay Legal)				
Additional Contact	Braden Jacobs	Enterprise Solution Delivery	860.226.4187	braden.jacobs@cigna.com

INTERVIEW NOTES	
Existing assets that support	Portal for Small Business that supports plan selection and simulation capabilities for designing plans based on
individual commercial applications	different criteria
	Support for ACH and electronic fund transfer for premium collection
	Currently there is no portal for individuals to apply but CIGNA is in the process of developing a consolidated portal by
	early 2014 that will allow individual to apply and brokers to get access to plans that are available
Additional Assets needed to	Online Payment
process HIE applications	Display of 4 Tier plans
Requirements for HIE to send	Supports standardized data transaction including HIPPA supported formats
referrals?, e.g. accept 1st	
premium payment & process	
state/federal subsidy	

Will prefer the UTAH model where the exchange simply links the individuals to the carrier's portal and not provide enrollment options on the exchange, but are open for the latter option as well if required.

Would like to receive demographics data from exchange if the exchange routes the individuals to their site.

ORGANIZATION	Name	TITLE	PHONE	EMAIL
Health Net	Mark J Brooks	Chief Technology Officer		mark.j.brooks@healthnet.com
	Gay Ann Williams			gay.ann.williams@healthnet.com

NTERVIEW NOTES					
Existing assets that support	Portal for Small Business and individuals to apply				
individual commercial applications	Support for online payments				
Additional Assets needed to	Allow brokers to see plans available				
process HIE applications	Have a consolidated portal for all types of applicants				
Requirements for HIE to send	Supports web service based standardized transactions including HIPPA formats				
referrals?, e.g. accept 1st premium					
payment & process state/federal					
subsidy					

Notes

Would prefer the exchange not to host the plan data and query the plan systems in real-time every time the consumer is looking for insurance options.

Would prefer the exchange simply send the consumers to the carrier's web site for application and enrollment process.

Willing to send minimal enrollment information to the exchange.

Willing to skin the web site to look like the exchange site.

ORGANIZATION	NAME	TITLE	PHONE	EMAIL
United Health	Jake Logan	VP - State Government Affairs		Jake Logan@UHC.com
Group				

NTERVIEW N OTES				
Customer research and exchange prototype				
Experience in working with States and other focus groups				

Serves 37 million Americans

Community Plans serve 3.3 million enrolled in Medicaid, CHIP, and related programs across 24 states and the District of Columbia

sees innovation as key to improving health outcomes and controlling the cost of delivery

BROKER INTERVIEWS

ORGANIZATION	NAME	TITLE	PHONE	EMAIL
FBC	Chris W. Durkin		602-277-8477	cwd@fbcserv.com

INTERVIEW NOTES				
Existing assets that support All quotes must be underwritten if 26 years or less. Have universal screening health risk form and submit				
individual applications	return bid.			
	If not, use census data. Don't want to load data into rating engine. Depend on vendor			
	Use excel and submit to vendor who loads.			
	In Arizona licensed in 19 states. Have about 4% market penetration. 250 corporate clients with average size 40 employees.			
	Also serve 800 individual clients. Biggest issue is underwriting.			
	Collect first payment by check and forward to vendor.			
	Issues of employers have populations in multiple states:			
Additional Assets needed to				
process HIE applications				
Requirements for HIE to send	Common application for all carriers. Would like the HIE to host a way to compare plans fitting certain parameters.			
referrals?, e.g. accept 1st	Calculation built in actuarial value associated with a particular.			
premium payment & process				
state/federal subsidy	Clients also want to how much of population is eligible for AHCCCS? How to take advantage of tax credits, etc.?			
Notes	•			

Notes

Scheduled 4.6.11 10am cell: 602-549-2640

Business not drive by web. Meet w employers using excel ppt, etc and then present options to employers. Quote it and NOrvax: on their website. Have been lacking a uniform web portal for basic data.

ORGANIZATION	NAME	TITLE	PHONE	EMAIL
Black, Gould &	Ruthann Laswick		602-776-1342	Ruthann.laswick@blackgould.com
Associates, Inc				

INTERVIEW NOTES	
Existing assets that support individual commercial applications	Software company. Consider themselves as a General Agency that works between carrier and brokers. Developed and supported systems since 1990 for individuals to log on, view options and apply. Integrate all private carriers, plans, prices, for comparison basis. Users click on Plan and can apply on line through link to carrier. Largest implementation is Arizona. Involved Utah and many other states. 3 rd largest in nation with \$350,000 million in premiums; 19,000 individuals; 8,000 employer groups. 75% of clients are employer groups; 25% are individuals. Do all of technology, 24/7 Service calls, bilingual. Also provide similar services for carriers. Premium taxes go to Arizona.
	Don't collect premiums. Don't link to carriers for small group for purposes of marketing. (employer doesn't have access) internally staff act as brokers for small employers.
	Have underwriting authority, but confirm with carrier.
	Approach to Exchange: similar to other brokers; interested in competing for developing and running exchange.
	Upgrading constantly; up to date technology
Additional Assets needed	Imagine that SHOP would go to them or broker to help make decisions.
to process HIE applications	Interface more with Exchange as navigator and if the voucher program survives.
	Free choice voucher; individual declines employer offer.
Requirements for HIE to	Concerns are with employer groups: making sure all additional laws, e.g. COBRA. Are met.
send referrals?	

A web ex demo was given to SIS by the Black, Gould & Associates technical team to display the features and functions of their system. Here are the findings from the demo:

- The system have a .NET based architecture and use 3.5, WCF and SQL Server.
- It doesn't have an ESB which is a major component of an ACA Exchange technical architecture.
- The system has a rating engine that they have developed using WCF but it is not a rules engine.
- It has real time web services interfaces with participating carrier systems and currently don't have any HIPAA supported interfaces.
- The system is HIPAA compliant in terms of security but the team was not sure about FIPS and other standards.
- The system doesn't have a NIEM supported data structure.

We evaluated the features and functions that were shown to us during the demo for considering their solution as a potential ACA Exchange solution as desired by the Black, Gould and Associates team. Our analysis indicates that the system does not have many features and functions required by the ACA Exchange and lacks basic features as well integrations with other systems. It does not have any consumer portal or a portal for small business. Essentially their web site allows the brokers to sign in, enter very basic information (name, age, zip code) and then look for available plan options. At that time they get the plan information back from the carrier systems and present them to the brokers and also allow them to do a side by side comparison. If the broker wants to apply for a specific plan on behalf of the client then at that point all they do is send the user to the specific carrier's web site with no pre fill of the data. Beyond that point it all happens in the carrier's website. They don't get any enrollment information back from the carriers. They don't have any reporting capabilities for the brokers.

ORGANIZATION	NAME	TITLE	PHONE	EMAIL
Arizona	John Rothstein		602-973-1770	john@azbenefitsadvantage.com
Benefits				
Advantage				

INTERVIEW NOTES

Notes

General description of clients and business: Small shop. Arizona focused with very limited technology: use Carrier online tools John is president of Broker group; very supportive of HIE.

Concern about State complexity for processing premiums

Believe role of Brokers will remain given employee groups will need guidance on and support for selecting options presented by Exchanges Currently no automated tool for small groups. Benefit Mall, eHealth, Black, Gould tool for individuals).

ORGANIZATION	Name		EMAIL
Children's	Matt Jewett		mjewett@azchildren.org
Action Alliance	Dana Naimark		dnaimark@azchildren.org

Interview Notes	
Existing assets that support	NA
individual applications	
Additional Assets needed to	NA
process HIE applications	
Requirements for HIE to send	NA
referrals?, e.g. accept 1st	
premium payment & process	
state/federal subsidy	

Matt Jewett clarified that they do not have technical expertise and do not maintain or have any IT solutions. They do policy analysis using aggregate data and represent children's advocates and community organizations that may be assisting families with health insurance enrollment and eligibility options. The Children's Action Alliance would very much like to provide input into the design phase of developing an Exchange and would like to be contacted to pull an-user group together when Arizona is ready.